https://doi.org/10.31489/2024M3/55-65

Research article

Absolutely stable difference scheme for the delay partial differential equation with involution and Robin boundary condition

A. Ashyralyev^{1,2,3}, S. Ibrahim^{4,*}, E. Hincal⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, Bahcesehir University Istanbul, Turkey;

²Institute of Mathematics and Mathematical Modeling, Almaty, Kazakhstan;

³ Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University), Moscow, Russian Federation;

⁴Department of Mathematics, Near East University, Nicosia, TRNC, Mersin 10, Turkey

 $(E-mail:\ all aberen. a shyraly ev@bau. edu. tr,\ ibrahim. suleiman@neu. edu. tr,\ evren. hincal@neu. edu. tr)$

This paper examines the initial value problem for a third-order delay partial differential equation with involution and Robin boundary condition. We construct a first-order accurate difference scheme to obtain the numerical solution for this equation. Illustrative numerical results are provided.

Keywords: numerical algorithm, involution, Robin boundary condition, third order partial differential equations, delay.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35G10, 35L90, 58D25.

Introduction

Over the years, nonlocal and local boundary value problems (BVPs) for third-order partial differential equations (PDEs) have gone through extensive investigations (see, for instance, [1–9]). Time delay (TD) is a common phenomenon in various engineering projects. The theory as well as applications of delay nonlinear and linear third-order ordinary differential and difference equations having delay terms have been explored in numerous works (see, for instance, [10–16]).

The stability of the third order partial delay differential equation (PDDE) having involution and Dirichlet condition was investigated in [17]. Nevertheless, the third order PDDE with involution and Robin condition (IRC) is not studied before. Therefore, the main motivation for this paper is to study the stability of the third order partial delay differential and difference equations with IRC.

1 Differential problem stability

In $[0,\infty) \times (-\rho,\rho)$, the initial BVP for the TD third order PDE with IRC.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^{3} u(\zeta,y)}{\partial \zeta^{3}} - (\delta(y)u_{\zeta y}(\zeta,y))_{y} + \beta \left(\delta(-y)u_{\zeta,-y}(\zeta,-y)\right)_{-y} \\ = -b \left(-\delta(y)u_{y}(\zeta-w,y)\right)_{y} + \beta \left(\delta(-y)u_{-y}(\zeta,-y)\right)_{-y} \\ + \Phi(\zeta,y), \ 0 < t < \infty, (-\rho,\rho), \end{cases}$$
(1)
$$u(\zeta,y) = g(\zeta,y), -w \le \zeta \le 0, y \in [-\rho,\rho], \\ \alpha_{1}u(\zeta,-\rho) - \gamma_{1}u_{y}(\zeta,-\rho) = 0, \ \alpha_{2}u(\zeta,\rho) + \gamma_{2}u_{y}(\zeta,\rho) = 0, \ 0 \le \zeta < \infty \end{cases}$$

*Corresponding author. E-mail: ibrahim.suleiman@neu.edu.tr

We would like to thank the following institutions for their support. The publication has been prepared with the support of the "RUDN University Program 5-100". This research has been funded by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP05131225).

Received: 13 December 2023; Accepted: 27 May 2024

^{© 2024} The Authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

is studied. In this study, we make the assumption that w > 0, $\overline{\delta} \ge \delta(y) = \delta(-y) \ge \underline{\delta} > 0$, $y \in (-t, t)$ and $\underline{\delta} - \overline{\delta}|\beta| \ge 0$, α_1 , α_2 , γ_1 , γ_2 are non negative constants.

We examine the Hilbert space $L_2[-\rho,\rho]$ consisting of all square integrable functions defined on $[-\rho,\rho]$, equipped with the norm

$$\| \Phi \|_{L_2[-\rho,\rho]} = \left(\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} |\Phi(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

A unique solution $u(\zeta, y)$ is possessed by problem (1) for the smooth functions $\delta(y)$, $y \in (-t, t)$, $g(\zeta, y), -w \leq \zeta \leq 0, y \in [-\rho, \rho], \Phi(\zeta, y), 0 < \zeta < \infty, y \in (-\rho, \rho)$, and $b \in \mathbb{R}^1$, provided the compatibility conditions are met.

Theorem 1. The following stability estimates hold for the solutions of problem (1):

$$\begin{split} \max_{0 \le \zeta \le nw} \| v_{\zeta\zeta}(\zeta, \cdot) \|_{W_{2}^{1}(-\rho, \rho)} , & \max_{0 \le \zeta \le nw} \| v_{\zeta}(\zeta, \cdot) \|_{W_{2}^{2}(-\rho, \rho)} , & \max_{0 \le \zeta \le nw} \| v(\zeta, \cdot) \|_{W_{2}^{3}(-\rho, \rho)} \\ \le M_{2} \left[(2 + |b|w)^{n} a_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (2 + |b|w)^{n-i} \int_{(i-1)\omega}^{iw} \| \Phi(s, \cdot) \|_{W_{2}^{1}(-\rho, \rho)} \, ds \right] , \\ a_{0} = \max \left\{ \max_{-w \le \zeta \le 0} \| g_{\zeta\zeta}(\zeta, \cdot) \|_{W_{2}^{1}(-\rho, \rho)} , \\ & \max_{-w \le \zeta \le 0} \| g(\zeta, \cdot) \|_{W_{2}^{3}(-\rho, \rho)} \right\} . \end{split}$$

Here, the Sobolev spaces W_2^k for k = 1, 2, 3 consist of all square integrable functions $\psi(y)$ defined on $[-\rho, \rho]$, each equipped with their respective norms

$$\|\psi\|_{W_{2}^{k}(-\rho,\rho)} = \left(\int_{-\rho}^{\rho} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \left(\psi_{\underbrace{y \dots y}_{i \text{ time}}}(y)\right)^{2} dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Note that M_2 does not depend on g(t, y) and $\Phi(\zeta, y)$.

Proof. With this we are able to change problem (1) to the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^3 v(\zeta)}{d\zeta^3} + A \frac{d v(\zeta)}{d\zeta} = b A v(\zeta - w) + \Phi(\zeta), \ 0 < \zeta < \infty, \\ v(\zeta) = g(\zeta), -w \le \zeta \le 0 \end{cases}$$
(2)

in $H = L_2 [-\rho, \rho]$ which happens to be Hilbert space having a self-adjoint positive definite operator (SAPDO) A that is given by the formula below:

$$Au(y) = -(\delta(y)u_y(y))_y + \beta(\delta(-y)u_{-y}(-y))_{-y},$$
(3)

having domain

$$D(A) = \{u(y) : u(y), \ u_y(y), \ (\delta(y)u_y)_y \in L_2[-\rho,\rho], \\ \alpha_1u(-\rho) - \gamma_1u_y(-\rho) = 0, \\ \alpha_2u(\rho) + \gamma_2u_y(\rho) = 0\}.$$

Theorem 1's proof relies on the positive definiteness as well as the self-adjointness of the space operator A as specified by equation (3), as well as the results presented in paper [18]. Additionally, the proof incorporates the theorem on the stability of the solution to problem (2).

Theorem 2. [19] The following estimate applies to the solution of problem (2):

$$\max_{0 \le \zeta \le nw} \left\| A^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^2 v(\zeta)}{d\zeta^2} \right\|_H, \ \max_{0 \le \zeta \le nw} \left\| A \frac{d\zeta(\zeta)}{d\zeta} \right\|_H, \frac{1}{2} \ \max_{0 \le \zeta \le nw} \left\| A^{\frac{3}{2}} v(\zeta) \right\|_H$$
$$\le (2 + |b|w)^n a_0 + \int_0^{nw} \left\| A^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi(s) \right\|_H ds, n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$a_{0} = \max\left\{\max_{-w \le \zeta \le 0} \left\| A^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^{2}g(\zeta)}{d\zeta^{2}} \right\|_{H}, \max_{-w \le \zeta \le 0} \left\| A \frac{dg(\zeta)}{d\zeta} \right\|_{H}, \max_{-w \le \zeta \le 0} \left\| A^{\frac{3}{2}}g(t) \right\|_{H} \right\}.$$

Stability of the difference scheme

For the approximate solution of problem (1), we study the stable difference scheme (DS). Problem (1) discretization is conducted in two stages.

Firstly, the spatial discretization is executed. The equation below defines the grid space:

$$[-t,t]_h = \left\{ y = y_n \mid y_n = nh, \ -\Gamma \le n \le \Gamma, \ \Gamma h = t \right\}.$$

We present the Hilbert space $L_{2h} = L_2([-t,t]_h)$ of the grid functions $\varphi^h(y) = \{\varphi^n\}_{-\Gamma}^{\Gamma}$ defined on $[-t,t]_h$, endowed with the norm

$$\left\|\varphi^{h}\right\|_{L_{2h}} = \left(\sum_{y \in [-t,t]_{h}} \left|\varphi^{h}(y)\right|^{2} h\right)^{1/2}.$$

We associate the difference operator A_h^y with the differential operator A that is defined by equation (3), using the following expression

$$A_h^y \varphi^h(y) = \left\{ -\left(\delta(y)\varphi_{\overline{y}}^n\right)_y - \beta\left(\delta(-y)\varphi_{\overline{y}}^{-n}\right)_y \right\}_{-\Gamma+1}^{\Gamma-1},\tag{4}$$

that acts in the space of grid functions $\varphi^h(y) = \{\varphi^n\}_{-\Gamma}^{\Gamma}$ and meeting the requirements

$$\alpha_1 h \varphi^{-\Gamma} - \gamma_1 (\varphi^{-\Gamma} - \varphi^{-\Gamma+1}) = 0, \ \alpha_2 h \varphi^{\Gamma} + \gamma_2 (\varphi^{\Gamma} - \varphi^{\Gamma-1}) = 0.$$

Here

$$\varphi_{\bar{y}}^n = \frac{\varphi^n - \varphi^{n-1}}{h}, \ -\Gamma + 1 \le n \le \Gamma, \quad \varphi_y^n = \frac{\varphi^{n+1} - \varphi^n}{h}, \ -\Gamma \le n \le \Gamma - 1.$$

It is properly-established that A_h^y , as defined by equation (4) is a SAPDO in L_{2h} . By making use of A_h^y , the initial discretization step leads to the problem that follows:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^{3} u^{h}(\zeta, y)}{\partial \zeta^{3}} + A_{h}^{y} u^{h}(\zeta, y) = -b A_{h}^{y} u^{h}(\zeta - w, y) \\ + \Phi^{h}(\zeta, y), \ y \in [-t, t]_{h}, \ 0 < \zeta < \infty, \\ u^{h}(\zeta, y) = g^{h}(\zeta, y), -w \le \zeta \le 0, \ y \in [-t, t]_{h}, \ -w < \zeta < 0. \end{cases}$$
(5)

Mathematics Series. No. 3(115)/2024

Secondly, problem (5) is replaced with the following first order of accuracy DS

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u_{k+2}^{h}(y)-3u_{k+1}^{h}(y)+3u_{k}^{h}(y)-u_{k-1}^{h}(y)}{\eta^{3}} + A_{h}^{y} \frac{u_{k+2}^{h}(y)-u_{k+1}^{h}(y)}{\eta} \\ &= bA_{h}^{y}u_{k-M}^{h}(y) + \Phi_{k}^{h}(y), \Phi_{k}^{h}(y) = \Phi^{h}(\zeta_{k}, y), k \ge 1, \quad y \in [-t, t]_{h}, \\ u_{k}^{h}(y) &= g^{h}(\zeta_{k}, y), -M \le k \le 0, \\ (\Upsilon_{h} + \eta^{2}A_{h}^{y}) \frac{u_{1}^{h}(y)-u_{0}^{h}(y)}{\eta} = g_{\zeta}^{h}(0, y), \\ (\Upsilon_{h} + \eta^{2}A_{h}^{y}) \frac{u_{2}^{h}(y)-2u_{1}^{h}(y)+u_{0}^{h}(y)}{\eta^{2}} = g_{\zeta\zeta}^{h}(0, y), y \in [-t, t]_{h}, \\ (\Upsilon_{h} + \eta^{2}A_{h}^{y}) \frac{u_{mM+1}^{h}(y)-u_{mM}^{h}(y)}{\eta} = \frac{u_{mM}^{h}(y)-u_{mM-1}^{h}(y)}{\eta}, y \in [-t, t]_{h}, \\ (\Upsilon_{h} + \eta^{2}A_{h}^{y}) \frac{u_{mM+2}^{h}(y)-2u_{mM+1}^{h}(y)+u_{mM}^{h}(y)}{\eta^{2}} \\ &= \frac{u_{mM}^{h}(y)-2u_{mM-1}^{h}(y)+u_{mM-2}^{h}(y)}{\eta^{2}}, y \in [-t, t]_{h}, m = 1, 2, ..., \end{aligned}$$

here $\eta = 1/M$ and $\zeta_k = k\eta$, $-M \le k < \infty$.

Theorem 3. Let h and η be values that are small enough. The following estimates hold for the solution of DS (6):

$$\begin{split} \max_{0 \le k \le (m+1)M-2} \left\| \frac{u_{k+2}^h - 2u_{k+1}^h + u_k^h}{\eta^2} \right\|_{W_{2h}^1}, \max_{1 \le k \le (m+1)M} \left\| \frac{u_k^h - u_{k-1}^h}{\eta} \right\|_{W_{2h}^2}, \\ \max_{0 \le k \le (m+1)M} \| u_k^h \|_{W_{2h}^3} \le \chi_1 \left[(2 + \eta |b| (M - 2))^m b_0^h \right] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m (2 + \eta |b| (M - 2))^{m-i} \eta \sum_{s = (i-1)M+1}^{iM} \| \Phi(\zeta_s) \|_{W_{2h}^1} \right], m = 0, 1, \dots, \end{split}$$
$$b_0^h = \max \left\{ \max_{-M \le k \le 0} \| g_{\zeta\zeta}^h(\zeta_k) \|_{W_{2h}^1}, \ \max_{-M \le k \le 0} \| g_{\zeta}^h(\zeta_k) \|_{W_{2h}^2}, \ \max_{-M \le k \le 0} \| g_{\zeta}^h(\zeta_k) \|_{W_{2h}^2} \right\}.$$

Here, W_{2h}^1, W_{2h}^2 and W_{2h}^3 represent spaces of all mesh functions $\psi^h(\zeta)$ defined on the interval $[-\rho, \rho]_h$ having the specific norm

$$\left\|\psi^{h}\right\|_{W_{2h}^{k}} = \left(\sum_{y \in [-\rho,\rho]} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \left(\psi_{\underbrace{y \dots y}_{i \text{ time}}}^{h}(y)\right)^{2} h^{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Note that χ_1 does not depend on $\eta, h, g^h(t_k)$, and $\Phi^h_k(y)$.

Proof. DS (6) can be written in abstract form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u_{k+2}^{h} - 3u_{k+1}^{h} + 3u_{k}^{h} - u_{k-1}^{h}}{\eta^{3}} + A_{h} \frac{u_{k+2}^{h} - u_{k+1}^{h}}{\eta} &= bA_{h}u_{k-M}^{h} + \Phi_{k}^{h}, k \ge 1, \\ u_{k}^{h} &= g_{k}^{h}, -M \le k \le 0, \\ (\Upsilon_{h} + \eta^{2}A_{h}) \frac{u_{1}^{h} - u_{0}^{h}}{\eta} &= g_{\zeta}^{h}(0), (\Upsilon_{h} + \eta^{2}A_{h}) \frac{u_{2}^{h} - 2u_{1}^{h} + u_{0}^{h}}{\eta^{2}} &= g_{\zeta\zeta}^{h}(0), \\ (\Upsilon_{h} + \eta^{2}A_{h}) \frac{u_{mM+2}^{h} - 2u_{mM+1}^{h} + u_{mM}^{h}}{\eta^{2}} &= \frac{u_{mM}^{h} - 2u_{mM-1}^{h} + u_{mM-2}^{h}}{\eta^{2}}, \\ (\Upsilon_{h} + \eta^{2}A_{h}) \frac{u_{mM+1}^{h} - u_{mM}^{h}}{\eta} &= \frac{u_{mM}^{h} - u_{mM-1}^{h}}{\eta}, m = 1, 2, \ldots \end{aligned}$$

in L_{2h} which is a Hilbert space with SAPDO $A_h = A_h^y$ that is defined using the formula (4). Where, $g_k^h = g_k^h(y)$, $\Phi_k^h = \Phi_k^h(y)$ and $u_k^h = u_k^h(y)$ are known and unknown abstract mesh functions that are defined on $[-\rho, \rho]_h$ with the values in $H = L_{2h}$. Consequently, Theorem 2 proof relies on the theorem 4 below as well as the self-adjointness and positive definiteness of the space operator A_h (4) [20].

Theorem 4. [21] The following estimate holds for the solution of DS (7):

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \max_{0 \le k \le (m+1)M-2} \left\| A_h^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{u_{k+2}^h - 2u_{k+1}^h + u_k^h}{\eta^2} \right\|_H, & \max_{1 \le k \le (m+1)M} \left\| A_h \frac{u_k^h - u_{k-1}^h}{\eta} \right\|_H, \\ & \max_{0 \le k \le (m+1)M} \| A_h^{\frac{3}{2}} u_k^h \|_H \le \chi_1 \left[(2 + \eta |b| (M - 2))^m b_0^h + \sum_{i=1}^m (2 + \eta |b| (M - 2))^{m-i} \eta \sum_{s=(i-1)M+1}^{iM} \| A_H^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi(\zeta_s) \|_H \right], m = 0, 1, \dots, \end{split}$$

where $b_0 = \max \left\{ \max_{-M \le k \le 0} \| A_h^{\frac{1}{2}} g''(\zeta_k) \|_H, \ \max_{-M \le k \le 0} \| A_h g_{\zeta}^h(\zeta_k) \|_H, \ \max_{-M \le k \le 0} \| A_h^{\frac{3}{2}} g^h(\zeta_k) \|_H \right\}. \end{split}$

2 Numerical algorithm for the third order delay partial differential equation

We give the algorithm for numerically solving the initial BVP for third order delay PDE having involution and Robin boundary condition

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^3 u(\zeta,y)}{\partial \zeta^3} &- \frac{\partial^3 u(\zeta,y)}{\partial \zeta \partial y^2} + 8 \frac{\partial u(\zeta,y)}{\partial \zeta} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{\partial^3 u(\zeta,-y)}{\partial \zeta \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial u(\zeta,-y)}{\partial \zeta} \\ &= -0.1(-\frac{\partial^2 u(\zeta-1,y)}{\partial y^2} + 8u(\zeta-1,y)) \\ &- 35e^{-2\zeta} \cos 2y + 1.2e^{-2(\zeta-1)} \cos 2y, \\ &0 < \zeta < \infty, \ -\pi < y < \pi, \\ &u(\zeta,y) = e^{-2\zeta} \cos 2y, \ -1 \le \zeta \le 0, \ -\pi \le y \le \pi, \\ &u(\zeta,-\pi) - e^{-2\zeta} = 28u_y(\zeta,-\pi), -u(\zeta,\pi) + e^{-2\zeta} = 28u_y(\zeta,\pi), \ 0 \le \zeta < \infty. \end{aligned}$$
(8)

The exact solution of problem (8) is $u(\zeta, y) = e^{-2\zeta} \cos 2y, -\pi \leq y \leq \pi, -1 \leq \zeta < \infty$. We use the set of grid points $[-1, \infty)_{\eta} \times [-\pi, \pi]_h = \{(\zeta_k, y_n) : \zeta_k = k\eta, -M \leq k, M\eta = 1, y_n = nh, -\Gamma \leq n \leq \Gamma, \}$

Mathematics Series. No. 3(115)/2024

 $\Gamma h = \pi$ }, for the approximate solutions of the problem (8), we get the first order of accuracy DS in t

$$\begin{split} \frac{u_{n}^{k+2} - 3u_{n}^{k+1} + 3u_{n}^{k-1} - u_{n+1}^{k+1} - u_{n+1}^{k+1} - 2(u_{n+2}^{k+2} - u_{n+1}^{k+1}) + u_{n+2}^{k+2} - u_{n+1}^{k+1})}{\eta^{k^{2}}} \\ + 8 \frac{u_{n+2}^{k+2} - u_{n+1}^{k+1}}{\eta} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{u_{n+1}^{k+2} - u_{n+1}^{k+1} - 2(u_{n+2}^{k+2} - u_{n+1}^{k+1}) + u_{n+2}^{k+2} - u_{n+1}^{k+1})}{\eta^{k^{2}}} \\ + \frac{u_{n+2}^{k+2} - u_{n+1}^{k+1}}{\eta} = -(0.1) \left(-\frac{u_{n+1}^{k+2} - 2u_{n}^{k-M} + u_{n-1}^{k+M}}{\eta^{k^{2}}} + 8u_{n}^{k-M} \right) \\ - 35e^{-2\zeta_{k}} \cos 2y_{n} + 1.2e^{-2(\zeta_{k-M})} \cos 2y_{n}, \\ t_{k} = k\eta, \ mM + 1 \le k \le (m+1)M - 2, \\ m = 0, 1, \dots, \ -\Gamma + 1 \le n \le \Gamma - 1, \\ M\eta = 1, \ y_{n} = nh, \ -\Gamma + 1 \le n \le \Gamma - 1, \\ \Gamma h = \pi, \\ u_{n}^{0} = \cos(2nh), \\ \frac{u_{n}^{1} - u_{n}^{0}}{\eta^{2}} + \eta \left(-\frac{u_{n+1}^{1} - 2u_{n}^{1} + u_{n-1}^{1}}{h^{2}} + 8u_{n}^{1} \right) \\ + \eta \left(\frac{u_{n+1}^{k} - 2u_{n}^{0} + u_{n-1}^{0}}{h^{2}} - 8u_{n}^{0} \right) = -2\cos(2nh), \\ \frac{u_{n}^{2} - 2u_{n}^{1} + u_{n}^{0}}{\eta^{2}} + \left(-\frac{u_{n+1}^{2} - 2u_{n}^{2} + u_{n-1}^{2}}{h^{2}} + 8u_{n}^{2} \right) \\ + 2\left(\frac{(u_{n+1} - 2u_{n}^{0} + u_{n-1}^{0}}{h^{2}} - 8u_{n}^{1} \right) \\ + \left(-\frac{u_{n+1}^{k} - 2u_{n}^{m} + u_{n-1}^{m}}{h^{2}} - 8u_{n}^{m} \right) = 4\cos(2nh), \ -\Gamma + 1 \le n \le \Gamma - 1, \\ \frac{u_{n}^{mM+1} - u_{n}^{mM}}{\eta} + \eta \left(-\frac{u_{n+1}^{mM+1} - 2u_{n}^{mM+1} + u_{n-1}^{mM+1}}{h^{2}} + 8u_{n}^{mM+1} \right) \\ + \eta \left(\frac{u_{n+1}^{M-1} - 2u_{n}^{M} + u_{n-1}^{M}}{\eta^{2}} - 8u_{n}^{mM} \right) = \frac{u_{n}^{mM-1} - u_{n-1}^{mM+1}}{\eta} \\ + 2\left(\frac{u_{n+1}^{M+1} - 2u_{n}^{mM+1} + u_{n-1}^{mM}}{\eta^{2}} - 8u_{n}^{mM+1} \right) + \left(-\frac{u_{n+1}^{M+1} - 2u_{n-1}^{mM+1} + 8u_{n}^{mM+1}}{\eta} \right) \\ + 2\left(\frac{u_{n+1}^{M+1} - 2u_{n}^{M+1} + u_{n-1}^{M}}}{\eta^{2}} - 8u_{n}^{M} + 1 \right) + \left(-\frac{u_{n+1}^{M+1} - 2u_{n-1}^{mM+1} + 8u_{n}^{mM+1}}{\eta} \right) \\ + 2\left(\frac{u_{n+1}^{M+1} - 2u_{n}^{M+1} + u_{n-1}^{M+1}}}{\eta^{2}} - 8u_{n}^{M+1} \right) + \left(-\frac{u_{n+1}^{M+1} - 2u_{n-1}^{M} + 4u_{n-1}^{M}}}{\eta^{2}} + 8u_{n}^{M} \right) \\ = \frac{u_{n}^{M-2} - 2u_{n}^{M} + u_{n-1}^{M+1} + u_{n-1}^{M+1}}{\eta^{2}}} - 8u_{n}^{M} + 1 \right) + \left(-\frac{u_{n+1}^{M+1} - 2u_{n-1}^{M} + 8u_{n}^{M} + 2}{\eta^{2}} \right) \\ = \frac{u_{n}^{M+1} - 2u_{n}^{M} + 1}{\eta^{2}} + \frac{u_{$$

We rewrite (9) in the matrix form as in the following:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \chi^{k+2} + \Theta \chi^{k+1} + \Lambda \chi^k + \Omega \chi^{k-1} = \varphi(\chi^{k-M}), \\ k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ \\ \\ \chi^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2(-\Gamma)h) \\ \cos(2(-\Gamma+1)h) \\ \\ \cos(2(\Gamma-1)h) \\ \cos(2(\Gamma)h) \end{bmatrix}, \\ \chi^1 = LH\chi^0, \\ \chi^2 = YP\chi^1 + YQ\chi^0, \\ \chi^{mM+1} = LJ\chi^{mM} + LW\chi^{mM-1}, \\ \chi^{mM+2} = YP\chi^{mM+1} + YX\chi^{mM} + YS\chi^{mM-1} \\ + YZ\chi^{mM-2}, \\ m = 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta, \Theta, \Lambda, \Omega, F, H, J, P, Q, S, V, W, X$ and Z are $(2\Gamma + 1) \times (2\Gamma + 1)$ matrices, $\varphi(\chi^{k-M})$, χ^0 , χ^1 and χ^r , $r = k, k \pm 1, k + 2$ are $(2\Gamma + 1) \times 1$ column vectors defined by

 $W = \left| \begin{array}{cccccccc} 0 & w & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & w & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & w & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & w & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & w & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & w & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & s & 0 \\ \end{array} \right|,$ $Z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{1}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ f^* & f & f^* & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f^* & f & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & f^* & f^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & -\frac{28}{h} & 1 + \frac{28}{h} \end{bmatrix},$ $P = \begin{vmatrix} p^* & p & p^* & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p^* & p & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & p & p^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & p^* & p & p^* \end{vmatrix},$ $H = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h^* & e^* & h^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h^* & e^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & e^* & h^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & h^* & e^* & h^* \end{vmatrix} , \quad X = \begin{vmatrix} x^* & s^* & x^* & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x^* & s^* & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & s^* & x^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & s^* & x^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & x^* & s^* & x^* \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & x^* & s^* & x^* \end{vmatrix} ,$

Bulletin of the Karaganda University

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ q^* & q & q^* & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q^* & q & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & q & q^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & q^* & q & q^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \varphi^{(k-M)} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi^k_{-M} \\ \varphi^k_{-M+1} \\ \vdots \\ \varphi^k_{M-1} \\ \varphi^k_{M} \end{bmatrix}, \ \chi^r = \begin{bmatrix} \chi^r_0 \\ \chi^r_{-M+1} \\ \vdots \\ \chi^r_{M-1} \\ \chi^r_{M} \end{bmatrix},$$

 $r=k,k\pm 1,k+2,$ where

$$\varphi_n^k = -(0.1) \left(-\frac{u_{n+1}^{k-M} - 2u_n^{k-M} + u_{n-1}^{k-M}}{h^2} + 8u_n^{k-M} \right) - 35e^{-2\zeta_k} \cos 2y_n + 1.2e^{-2(\zeta_{k-M})} \cos 2y_n,$$

$$\zeta_k = k\eta, \ mM + 1 \le k \le (m+1)M - 2, \ m = 0, 1, ..., \ -\Gamma + 1 \le n \le \Gamma - 1.$$

Where, $a = -\frac{1}{\eta h^2}$, $a^* = -\frac{1}{8\eta h^2}$, $b = \frac{1}{\eta^3} + \frac{2}{\eta h^2} + \frac{8}{\eta}$, $b^* = \frac{2}{8\eta h^2} + \frac{1}{\eta}$, $c = -\frac{3}{\eta^3} - \frac{2}{\eta h^2} - \frac{8}{\eta}$, $c^* = -b^*$, l = -a, $l^* = -a^*$, $d = \frac{3}{\eta^3}$, $e = -\frac{1}{\eta^3}$, $w = -\frac{1}{\eta}$, $s = -\frac{2}{\eta^2}$, $z = \frac{1}{\eta^2}$, $f = \frac{2\eta}{h^2} + \frac{1}{\eta} + 8\eta$, $f^* = -\frac{\eta}{h^2}$, $p = \frac{2}{\eta^2} + \frac{4}{h^2} + 16$, $p^* = -\frac{2}{h^2}$, $v = \frac{1}{2}p$, $v^* = \frac{1}{2}p^*$, $j = f + \frac{1}{\eta}$, $j^* = f^*$, $h^* = f^*$, $e^* = f - 2$, $s^* = p^* - 8$, $x^* = -v^*$, $q = -\frac{1}{\gamma}$, $w_1 = a + a^*$, $w_2 = b + b^*$, $\eta^2 - \frac{2}{h^2} - 4$, $q^* = x^*$, $L = F^{-1}$, $Y = V^{-1}$.

3 Numerical analysis

Provided in Table below are the solutions obtained numerically for various values of M and Γ , with u_n^k representing the solution of this DS at $u(\zeta_k, y_n)$ numerically. The table consist of values for M = Γ = 30, 60, 120 in $\zeta \in [0, 1]$, $\zeta \in [1, 2]$, $\zeta \in [2, 3]$ respectively and the errors are calculated by

$$mE_M^{\mathrm{M}} = \max_{m\mathrm{M}+1 \le k \le (m+1)\mathrm{M}, -\Gamma \le n \le \Gamma} |u(\zeta_k, y_n) - u_n^k|.$$

T a b l e

| (M, Γ) | $M = \Gamma = 30$ | $M = \Gamma = 60$ | $M = \Gamma = 120$ |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| $\zeta \in [0,1]$ | 0.1933 | 0.1012 | 0.0516 |
| $\zeta \in [1,2]$ | 0.2350 | 0.1169 | 0.0583 |
| $\zeta \in [2,3]$ | 0.1692 | 0.0780 | 0.0340 |

Errors of DS (9)

If M and Γ are doubled as shown in the above table, the values of the errors decrease by a factor of approximately $\frac{1}{2}$ for DS (9).

4 Conclusion

In this paper, the first order of accuracy DS for the numerical solution of the third order delay PDE with IRC is considered. Numerical results are given for illustration.

Author Contributions

All authors contributed equally to this work.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 Amirov, S., & Kozhanov, A.I. (2013). Mixed boundary value problem for a class of strongly nonlinear sobolev-type equations of higher order. *Doklady Mathematics*, 88(5), 446–448. https://doi.org/10.1134/S1064562413040236
- 2 Apakov, Y. (2012). On the solution of a boundary-value problem for a third-order equation with multiple characteristics. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, 64 (1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11253-012-0625-1
- 3 Apakov, Y., & Irgashev, B. (2015). Boundary-value problem for a generate high-odd order equation. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, 66(1), 1475–1490. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-015-1039-7
- 4 Apakov, Y., & Rutkauskas, S. (2011). On a boundary value problem to third order pde with multiple characteristics. *Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control*, 16(3), 255–269. https://doi.org/ 10.15388/NA.16.3.14092
- 5 Ashyralyev, A., & Belakroum, K. (2022). A stable difference scheme for a third-Order partial differential equation. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 260(4), 399–417. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10958-022-05702-5
- 6 Belakroum, K., Ashyralyev, A., & Guezane-Lakoud, A. (2018). A note on the nonlocal boundary value problem for a third order partial differential equation. *Filomat*, 32(3), 801–808. https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1803801B
- 7 Kudu, M., & Amirali, I. (2014). Method of lines for third order partial differential equations. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics, 2(2), 33–36. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2014. 22005
- 8 Latrous, C., & Memou, A. (2005). A three-point boundary value problem with an integral condition for a third-order partial differential equation. Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2005(1), 33–43. https://doi.org/10.1155/AAA.2005.33
- 9 Niu, J., & Li, P. (2014). Numerical algorithm for the third-order partial differential equation with three-point boundary value problem. Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2014 (40), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/630671
- 10 Afuwape, A.U., & Omeike, M.O. (2010). Stability and boundedness of solutions of a kind of third-order delay differential equations. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 29(3), 329–342. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1807-03022010000300001
- 11 Al Themairi, A., Qaraad, B., Bazighifan, O., & Nonlaopon, K. (2022). Third-order neutral differential equations with damping and distributed delay: new asymptotic properties of solutions. Symmetry, 14(10), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14102192
- 12 Ashyralyev, A., & Ibrahim, A. (2023). A numerical algorithm for the third order delay partial differential equation with involution and Neumann boundary condition. AIP Conference Proceedings, 2781(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0145923
- 13 Baculíková, B., Rogovchenko, Y.V., & Džurina, J. (2012). Oscillation of third order trinomial delay differential equations. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 218(13), 7023–7033. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2011.12.049
- 14 Cahlon, B., & Schmidt, D. (2006). Stability criteria for certain third-order delay differential equations. *Journal*, 188(2), 319–335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2005.04.034

- 15 Grace, S.R. (2015). Oscillation criteria for a third order nonlinear delay differential equations with time delay. Opuscula Mathematica, 35(4), 485–497. http://dx.doi.org/10.7494/OpMath.2015.35. 4.485
- 16 Xiang, H. (2016). Oscilation of the third-order nonlinear neutral differential equations with distributed time delay. *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 36(2016), 769–782. https://ijpam.uniud.it/online_issue/201636/63-Xiang.pdf
- 17 Ashyralyev, A., Ibrahim, S., & Hincal, E. (2021). On stability of the third order partial delay differential equation with involution and Dirichlet condition. Bulletin of the Karaganda University. Mathematics Series, 2(102), 25–34. https://doi.org/10.31489/2021m2/25-34
- 18 Ashyralyev, A., & Agirseven, D. (2013). On convergence of difference schemes for delay parabolic equations. Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 66(7), 1232–1244. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.camwa.2013.07.018
- 19 Ashyralyev, A., Hincal, E., & Ibrahim, S. (2018). Stability of the third order partial differential equations with time delay. AIP Conference Proceedings, 1997, 020086. https://doi.org/10.1063/ 1.5049080
- 20 Ashyralyev, A., & Agirseven, D. (2014). Well-posedness of delay parabolic difference equations. Advances in Difference Equations, 2014(18), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1847-2014-18
- 21 Ashyralyev, A., Erdogan, A.S., & Sarsenbi, A.M. (2020). A note on the parabolic identification problem with involution and Dirichlet condition. Bulletin of the Karaganda University. Mathematics Series, 3(99), 130–139. https://doi.org/10.31489/2020M3/130-139

Author Information*

Allaberen Ashyralyev — Doctor of physical and mathematical sciences, Professor, Department of Mathematics, Bahcesehir University, 34353, Istanbul, Turkey; e-mail: *aallaberen@gmail.com*; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4153-6624

Suleiman Ibrahim (corresponding author) — Doctor of mathematical sciences, Assistant Professor, Lecturer, Near East University Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Near East University, Nicosia, TRNC, Mersin 10, Turkey; e-mail: suleiman@neu.edu.tr; https://orcid.org/0009-0009-8913-7217

Evren Hincal — Doctor of mathematical sciences, Professor, Head of the Department of Mathematics, Near East University Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Near East University, Nicosia, TRNC, Mersin 10, Turkey; e-mail: *evren.hincal@neu.edu.tr*; https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6175-1455

^{*}The author's name is presented in the order: First, Middle and Last Names.